Table C-83b. Percentage distribution of Federal obligations for research and development to each State for selected agencies, by performer: fiscal year 2001

Page 1 of 2

State (in order of total Federal research & development obligations)	Total	Intra- mural ¹	Extramural						
			Industrial firms	FFRDCs admin by industrial firms	Univer- sities and colleges	FFRDCs admin by univs and colleges	Other non- profit institu- tions	FFRDCs admin by nonprofit institu- tions	State and local govts
Total	100.0	25.0	34.4	1.5	24.8	5.9	6.2	1.6	0.5
California	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	17.9 58.5 32.0 8.4 9.1	34.5 22.2 53.1 31.6 78.0	.0 2.3 .0 .0	20.5 12.3 7.5 25.7 11.9	20.6 .0 1.4 5.5 .0	5.9 4.6 1.9 25.6 .7	.5 * 3.9 3.0 .0	.2 .1 .2 .2
New York	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	8.1 18.0 32.7 69.8 6.8	23.4 39.4 47.9 13.2 33.7	.0 .0 .0 .0	48.2 38.8 18.0 7.4 47.5	.0 .0 .0 .0	10.1 3.3 .9 9.5 11.0	7.4 .0 .0 .*	2.7 .5 .5 .1 .3
New Mexico	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	19.1 37.3 39.0 13.2 4.7	7.0 46.9 29.4 70.7 16.7	31.4 .0 .0 .0	5.8 14.2 23.0 12.5 43.8	35.9 .0 .0 2.3 28.4	.6 1.3 8.3 1.0 5.4	.0 .0 .0 .0	.1 .2 .3 .3
New Jersey Washington North Carolina Connecticut Colorado	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	32.9 11.6 31.5 6.8 19.8	42.4 28.8 6.2 64.0 28.6	.0 .0 .0 .0	19.1 34.2 54.1 26.3 29.8	4.0 .0 .0 .0 6.2	1.2 15.1 7.7 2.4 4.9	.0 9.9 .0 .0 10.3	.4 .4 .5 .6
Michigan Missouri Minnesota Tennessee Indiana	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	10.1 4.7 3.7 11.9 10.4	31.7 43.6 46.6 7.2 37.2	.0 .0 .0 .0	54.9 47.0 31.7 34.7 49.2	.0 .0 .0 1.3 .0	2.0 4.0 17.0 5.1 2.3	.0 .0 .0 39.2 .0	1.2 .7 1.1 .5
Oregon Wisconsin Maine Rhode Island New Hampshire	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	15.6 8.3 2.1 58.0 10.3	25.4 8.1 76.6 9.5 62.1	.0 .0 .0 .0	47.9 80.2 6.4 21.0 24.5	.0 .0 .0 .0	7.2 2.2 13.8 11.2 1.9	.0 .0 .0 .0	3.9 1.2 1.1 .3 1.2
Mississippi Utah West Virginia lowa South Carolina	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	45.1 20.9 31.4 12.2 17.4	21.7 29.4 29.5 7.5 15.3	.0 .0 .0 .0 12.4	30.5 47.9 16.6 70.9 45.2	.0 .0 13.2 6.4 .0	2.0 1.3 8.7 1.4 7.1	.0 .0 .0 .0	.8 .6 .6 1.7 2.5
Kansas Nevada Hawaii Louisiana Kentucky	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	8.1 11.5 24.0 27.0 4.9	46.3 71.4 29.9 14.3 37.0	.0 .0 .0 .0	40.7 14.7 31.9 55.0 51.1	.0 .0 .0 .0	1.5 1.2 12.8 1.4 1.6	.0 .0 .0 .0	3.4 1.2 1.4 2.4 5.4
Oklahoma Alaska Idaho Arkansas Montana	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	23.7 46.6 10.4 28.4 32.2	30.4 7.3 19.0 30.3 8.6	.0 .0 55.1 .0	35.6 34.8 14.3 37.0 44.4	.0 .0 .0 .0	8.9 6.6 .0 2.3 12.6	.0 .0 .0 .0	1.3 4.7 1.1 2.0 2.2
Nebraska Vermont North Dakota Delaware South Dakota Wyoming	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	20.8 4.6 35.8 4.5 37.4 22.4	7.3 30.7 9.6 15.1 9.8 6.0	.0 .0 .0 .0	64.3 60.6 50.8 75.9 47.4 56.1	.0 .0 .0 .0	4.8 1.4 2.4 1.9 1.7 12.2	.0 .0 .0 .0	2.9 2.8 1.5 2.7 3.7 3.4

See explanatory information, if any, and SOURCE at end of table.

Table C-83b. Percentage distribution of Federal obligations for research and development to each State for selected agencies, by performer: fiscal year 2001

Page 2 of 2

State (in order of total Federal research & development obligations)	Total	Intra- mural ¹	Extramural						
			Industrial firms	FFRDCs admin by industrial firms	Univer- sities and colleges	FFRDCs admin by univs and colleges	Other non- profit institu- tions	FFRDCs admin by nonprofit institu- tions	State and local govts
Outlying areas	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	13.3 11.6 17.0 96.6	11.2 36.5	.0 .0 .0		.0	9.9 .1 30.9 .0	.0 .0 .0	1.8 2.6 *

Includes costs associated with the administration of intramural and extramural programs by Federal personnel as well as actual intramural

KEY:

* = Amount less than 0.5 percent FFRDCs = Federally funded research and development centers

NOTES:

Only the following 10 agencies are required to report to this section of the survey: the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Defense, Energy, Health and Human Services, Interior, and Transportation; the Environmental Protection Agency; the National Aeronautics and Space Administration; and the National Science Foundation. The obligations of the 10 major Research and Development (R&D) supporting agencies included in this table represent approximately 98 percent of total Federal R&D obligations in fixed year 2004. fiscal year 2001.

Geographic distribution of Department of Defense development funding to industry reflects only the location of prime contractors, not the numerous subcontractors who perform much of the research and development.

SOURCE: National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Statistics, Survey of Federal Funds for Research and Development: Fiscal Years 2001, 2002, and 2003